

Release Notes for Grants Online Version 4.13
Date Deployed: December 20, 2013

GLOBAL

- a. The BUREAU designation for an Organization Profile is no longer deleted when updates are made to the profile by GMD personnel. (GOL-97/QKL-546-13187)
- b. When the High Risk status for an organization has been changed, it cannot be changed again until the previous workflow has completed. (GOL-100)

Application Tab

- a. The validation of the “Place of Performance” field has been updated. The only alpha characters that will be allowed are “FORGN” per the FIPS guidelines. (GOL-98)

The screenshot shows a web application interface for the 'Application Tab'. It features several input fields and a dropdown menu. The 'Principal Place Of Performance' field is highlighted with a red rectangular box. To the right of this field are two buttons: 'Search FIPS Data' and 'Guidance'. The form includes the following elements:

- Audit Trail:
- Attachments:
- [-] Program Office Added Information**
- Proposal Number:
- Project Type: Construction Non-Construction
- Principal Investigators-Project Directors:
- Keyword(s):
- Program Element / Funding Priority:
- Principal Place Of Performance : [Search FIPS Data](#) [Guidance](#)

- b. High Risk Workflow – The recipient can no longer access the High Risk workflow. (GOL-72)
- c. Task Management Feature – This feature has been modified so that the Optional Reviewer role can now be viewed / searched. (GOL-87/IUN-331-37339)

- d. The Briefing Book on a Review Event is now accessible even after the Review Event has closed. (GOL-49)

Review Event Summary

Review Event Information

Review Event Type: Consensus Panel
Review Event Name: TEST Panel Review 1 **Review Event ID:** 2422098
RFA Name: TEST RFA - Review 2013A **RFA ID:** 2421521
Competition Name: Review 2013A **Competition ID:** 2421542
Program Office: NOS National Center for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS)

The Review Event is closed.

[Review Status Report \(exclude removed and declined reviews\)](#)
[Declined and Removed Review Reasons Report](#)
[Reviewers Scoring and Evaluation Report](#)
[List of Reviewers Report \(exclude unassigned Reviewers and Reviewers removed from all applications\)](#)
[List of Applications Report](#)

All reviewers have been notified.

Done

Briefing Book

Applications in Review Event

| Select | Proposal Number | Award Number | ID | Applicant | Project Title | PIs/PDs | Status | Total Score |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------|--|-------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2421547 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 1 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 79.5 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2421548 | Bangor | test apps -41 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 88.2 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2421551 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book 2 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 88.6 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2421553 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 1 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 0.0 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2422004 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 100 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 73.5 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2422005 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 101 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 77.3 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2422006 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 102 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 0.0 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2422007 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 103 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 66.7 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2422008 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 104 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 88.0 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | 2422009 | Bangor | TEST Briefing book Threshold 105 | | Review Minimum Requirements Checklist - Complete | 0.0 |

Export options: [Excel](#)

- e. The Vendor Validator now has functionality to cleanly close a Provisional Org workflow task that resulted from the CBS system being down. (GOL-52)
- f. The Award file will now include the workflow history of the Provisional Org workflow. (GOL-55)
- g. The recipient share amount on the CD-450 or CD-451 is now displayed correctly based on the data contained on all approved Procurement Requests on the Award File. (GOL-104)

Awards Tab

- a. The “Save” and “Save and Return” buttons have been removed from the De-Obligation Memo page for Financial close out, Reduction of funding and Decline funded amendment once the workflow has been completed. (GOL-43)

MEMORANDUM: NOAA Finance Office
FROM: Grants Management Division
SUBJECT: FUNDING REDUCTION
De-obligation Request for Award: NA13NOS4780171

Total Federal Funding (entire award): \$540.00
Recipient Name: University of South Alabama
EIN: 630477348
DUNS: 172750234
ASAP ID (if applicable): 109745
Award Period: 06/01/2013 - 11/30/2013
Federal Program Officer: Janet Reise
Obligation Number: 474343
Requested De-obligation Amount: \$10.00

| Item #/MDL | ACCS | Amount |
|-------------------|---|-----------|
| 2422282 / 2033496 | 14 2013 1 02-21-16-001 28LEF28-B00 30-21-0002-00-00-00-00 41-11-00-00 | (\$10.00) |

The Grants Management Division has reviewed the subject award. This document serves as authorization to proceed with the de-obligation process.

Justification for De-obligation:

The Grants Management Division has reviewed the subject award. This document serves as authorization to proceed with the de-obligation process.

Grants Officer Approval: Stacy Tedder 09/11/2013
De-obligation Completed: Grants Online-CBS Interface
Finance Office Approval: N/A

- b. Extended lag times to get to Special Award Conditions has been addressed. (GOL-86/SAE-275-42240)
- c. Recipients were receiving a CAMS approval notification for non-interfaced awards in error. This issue has been fixed. (GOL-90/MDD-657-38353)
- d. Financial Report Workflow – Any system-performed auto task in the Financial Report Workflow will now display “System” as the user performing the action. (GOL-85/EDY-326-45071)
- e. Only “Accepted” awards should be used for processing a continuation amendment. Expired and suspended awards will no longer be available for this process. (GOL-48 & GOL-46)

- f. The Procurement Request and Commitment of Funds drop down menu on a Declined Amendment will only have the following options available: Approve, Return to PO and Review. The “Withdraw” option has been removed. (GOL-47)

The screenshot shows a web interface for "Procurement Request and Commitment of Funds - NA13NOS4820210". The breadcrumb trail is "Advisories >> Tasks >> Procurement Request and Commitment of Funds - NA13NOS4820210". The page title is "Procurement Request and Commitment of Funds - NA13NOS4820210". Below the title, the "Id:" is 2422452 and the "Status:" is ReviewActions - Not Started. There is an "Action:" dropdown menu currently set to "Please select an action" with a "Submit" button next to it. Below the dropdown is a "Your Comments:" text area with a "Spell Check" button. At the bottom is a "Save Comment" button. The dropdown menu is open, showing three options: "Please select an action", "Approve Procurement Request", "Return Procurement Request to PO", and "Review/Edit Procurement Request and Commitment of Funds".

- g. Users were getting a CD-450 access issue when trying to view old awards. This issue has been fixed. (GOL-89/OZO-196-60284)
- h. Amendments for Terminations and Date Corrections cannot be retroactive because they cannot be processed by ASAP. This policy is now system enforced. (GOL-50 & GOL-51)
- i. Awards and their respective amendments need to be associated with the same recipient organization. The system no longer allows the selection of a different organization while creating an amendment. (GOL-91/KRM-700-45857)
- j. Non-ASAP NOAA recipients will receive the payment form link in the email notification from Grants Online. (GOL-13)
- k. De-authorization notifications shall be sent in conjunction with the ASAP task for High Risk change. (GOL-42)
- l. To prevent duplicate notifications of Grants Officer approval for an award, the Notification shall not be sent until after the CBS obligation has completed successfully. (GOL-45)
- m. When conducting negotiations, after associating the grant with the organization, the authorized representative information would be deleted. This issue has been fixed. (GOL-57/XNV-995-41841)
- n. Attachment removal issue in Correspondence and Federal Reports has been fixed. The system administrator and document owner can now remove attachments. (GOL-88/SDP-363-23188)
- o. During ASAP Enrollment process, awards can now be linked to a current Organization Profile if an ASAP one already exists in the database for the recipient organization. (GOL-73)
- p. Special Award Conditions on declined amendments have been removed from the consolidated award SAC report located on the Grants File launch page. (GOL-44)
- q. The system now checks the most recent PO Checklist to determine whether the recipient can invoke their expanded authority. (GOL-54)
- r. (BTOP Awards Only) The system now marks all attachments to Award Action Requests as “Internal Use Only” to prevent them from being uploaded to the PAM system. The large attachments were previously causing the PAM uploads to fail. (GOL-56)

- s. When a PPR is submitted to the agency, the PI on the award is copied on the notification of the submission. (GOL-58/XAN-953-57818)
- t. On the “Search Reports” screen the search results are now correctly reflecting the parameters selected by the user. (GOL-84)
- u. The authorization amount on the CBS transaction for funded amendments to High Risk awards is now being properly processed for zero dollars regardless of the actual obligation amount. (GOL-108)
- v. The SAC report was only displaying the first two columns. This issue has been fixed. (GOL-103/ABT-243-27792)

Review Module

- a. The application review report has been fixed. The quantitative percentage under scoring summary is now showing 1 [%] sign. (GOL-95)
- b. Additionally, in the quantitative percent method, the Lead can no longer insert a score and comments for “scribe only” criteria. (GOL-96)
- c. For Qualitative Scoring method, the values selected when setting up the review event are correctly included in the reviewer’s application review screen rather than the system defaults that were previously displayed. (GOL-92)

RFA Tab

- a. Both the Non-Consensus and Consensus Panel Review options have been removed from Review Events on a Universal RFA. (GOL-94)

| RFA Header Information | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Document ID: | 2425568 | CFDA Number: | 11.482 |
| Announcement Type: | Initial | SubProgram: | |
| Funding Opportunity Number: | NOAA-NOS-NCCOS-2014-2003727 | Assigned Program Office: | NOS National Center for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS) |
| Line Office: | National Ocean Service (NOS) | Assigned Program Officer: | null Janet Reise |
| RFA Name: | Sikha TEST non comp rfa create | RFA Type: | Noncompetitive |
| Fiscal Year: | 2014 | Publication Date: | Not Published |

| Review Event Information | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Review Event Name: * | <input type="text"/> |
| Review Basis: * | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> Independent Individual Merit ▼ </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; background-color: #e0f0ff;"> Independent Individual Merit </div> |
| Save | Cancel |