NOAA Form 25-18 (5-71)	U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration		
(PRES. By NDM 25-10)			
REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPT (PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)			
TO: NAME OF REVIEWER AND OFFICIAL ADDRESS	FROM: NAME		
	TITLE		
	OFFICIAL ADDRESS		
DETURN COMPLETED DEVIEW TO	CIONATURE		
RETURN COMPLETED REVIEW TO:	SIGNATURE		
	DATE		
Manuscript Title			
Authors			
Sponsor			
Publication Medium Proposed			
The author has submitted this manuscript for review. He desires publication as indicated above. Would you please serve as an official reviewer? Your frank comments and recommendations on the paper would help us evaluate it with regard to acceptability for publication and would provide suggestions and advice to the author for improving his manuscript. In making the review, you may find the questions in this form helpful. Complete, sign, and return your evaluation, or if you prefer, a letter of evaluation may be substituted for this form. Direct discussion with the author(s) or the sponsor (named above) regarding any suggested changes is encouraged (before this form is completed) especially if you believe that this may lead to a beneficial direct exchange of viewpoints between you and the author. However, if you cannot reach an agreement or if you wish to remain anonymous, please submit written comments in a form suitable for transmission to the author(s). Please return the manuscript with this form. We appreciate your cooperation and willingness to serve as an official reviewer of this manuscript. In keeping with the NOAA policy of completing reviews promptly, can you send us your comments by			
, 20? Please notify us immediately if you think it will take longer.			

REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPT 1. SCIENTIFIC VALUE OF CONTENT, EITHER AS AN ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION TO THE FIELD OR AS A PAPER THAT BELONGS IN THE FOLLOWING CLASSIFICATION. (Check on in each column.): Major Significance Wide Interest Significance Limited Interest Minor Significance Minor Defects M
THAT BELONGS IN THE FOLLOWING CLASSIFICATION. (Check on in each column.): Major Significance
Significant
Minor Significance Limited Interest 2. MODE AND CLARITY OF PRESENTATION, INCLUDE LOGICAL ORGANIZATION, CLARITY IN USE OF LANGUAGE, FREEDOM FROM SPECIALIZED SLANG OR JARGON, INCLUSION OF ALL DESIRABLE MATERIAL, OMMISION OF NONESSENTIAL MATERIAL, AND SUFFICIENT EMPHESIS ON MAIN IDEAS. (Check applicable blocks) Outstanding Very Good Satisfactory Minor Defects Major Defects (Check "Yes" or "No") 3. SUITABILITY OF SUBJECT MATTER. The subject matter is appropriate for publication as a contribution from NOAA. 4. SPECIFIC ASPECTS.
2. MODE AND CLARITY OF PRESENTATION, INCLUDE LOGICAL ORGANIZATION, CLARITY IN USE OF LANGUAGE, FREEDOM FROM SPECIALIZED SLANG OR JARGON, INCLUSION OF ALL DESIRABLE MATERIAL, OMMISION OF NONESSENTIAL MATERIAL, AND SUFFICIENT EMPHESIS ON MAIN IDEAS. (Check applicable blocks) Outstanding Very Good Satisfactory Minor Defects Major Defects (Check "Yes" or "No") 3. SUITABILITY OF SUBJECT MATTER. The subject matter is appropriate for publication as a contribution from NOAA 4. SPECIFIC ASPECTS. a. Title is accurate and concise b. Abstract is complete, clear, and informative c. References are adequate, accurate, and include bibliographic data
LANGUAGE, FREEDOM FROM SPECIALIZED SLANG OR JARGON, INCLUSION OF ALL DESIRABLE MATERIAL, OMMISION OF NONESSENTIAL MATERIAL, AND SUFFICIENT EMPHESIS ON MAIN IDEAS. (Check applicable blocks) Outstanding Very Good Satisfactory Minor Defects (Check "Yes" or "No") 3. SUITABILITY OF SUBJECT MATTER. The subject matter is appropriate for publication as a contribution from NOAA 4. SPECIFIC ASPECTS. a. Title is accurate and concise b. Abstract is complete, clear, and informative c. References are adequate, accurate, and include bibliographic data
(Check "Yes" or "No") 3. SUITABILITY OF SUBJECT MATTER. The subject matter is appropriate for publication as a contribution from NOAA 4. SPECIFIC ASPECTS. a. Title is accurate and concise b. Abstract is complete, clear, and informative c. References are adequate, accurate, and include bibliographic data
3. SUITABILITY OF SUBJECT MATTER. The subject matter is appropriate for publication as a contribution from NOAA 4. SPECIFIC ASPECTS. a. Title is accurate and concise b. Abstract is complete, clear, and informative c. References are adequate, accurate, and include bibliographic data
The subject matter is appropriate for publication as a contribution from NOAA 4. SPECIFIC ASPECTS. a. Title is accurate and concise b. Abstract is complete, clear, and informative c. References are adequate, accurate, and include bibliographic data
a. Title is accurate and concise b. Abstract is complete, clear, and informative c. References are adequate, accurate, and include bibliographic data
b. Abstract is complete, clear, and informative
c. References are adequate, accurate, and include bibliographic data
d. Figures and captions are all essential and complete.
e. Tables and captions are all essential and complete
f. Other points to be considered: (1)The publication of this paper is likely to result in embarrassment to NOAA
(2) The paper is factual, or excessively informal, or unfittingly presented 5. CHANGES. (if any)
5. CHANGES. (I) utty)
a. Have you considered changes necessary in the manuscript as received?
b. Have you discussed the changes with the author?
c. Has the author agreed and made the suggested changes?
d. Are the changes incorporated in the attached manuscript?
(Check applicable block(s))
6. MEDIUM OF PUBLICATION. See comments in item 12
Do you consider the proposed medium of publication to be the most appropriate? (If the answer is "NO", suggest an appropriate medium in item 12)
7. ORIGINALITY OF SUBJECT MATTER. (If applicable)
The essential subject matter is original and has not been treated in prior publications. (If the answer is "NO", suggest an appropriate medium in Item 12).
8. ADDITIONAL REVIEW. Would you recommend that any expects of this paper be subject to additional review?
Would you recommend that any aspects of this paper be subject to additional review? (If the answer is "YES", please specify in item 12)

NOAA FORM 25-18 Page 3					
REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPT					
	See Comments in item 12	YES	NO		
9. LENGTH OF PAPER.					
a. The subject matter is presented concisely and completely					
b. The length of the paper is in keeping with its relative importance					
10. TECHNICAL SOUNDNESS. Appears to be technically sound and free from mathematical errors					
11. RELIABILITY. (Considerations that may aid you in assessing reliability: Are the					
premises and method of approach sound? Are the measurements adequate in scope and					
number to support the conclusions? Were the measuring instruments properly calibrated? Are the conclusions set forth clearly and accurately and are they supported					
by convincing evidence? Are the results reproducible as to both method and data? Is					
any additional work, data, or tests needed?)					
a. Is the paper free from errors in logic, inference, and analysis?					
b. Are you satisfied as to the reliability of the data or conclusions presented?					
c. Are the uncertainties of reported numerical values clearly and correctly stated?					
improvement of manuscript or for further study of the subject.) (Page 4 may be used for additional space.) 13. OVERALL EVALUATION. (Summarize in one paragraph your considered opinion of this paper, indicating clearly why you feel it is or is not ready for publication as recommended in Item 14.)					
14. RECOMMENDATION. Check box indicating whether you recommend:					
Publication of this manuscript in present form or with minor revisions, either					
☐ In Medium proposed. ☐ In some other form or medium.					
Publication only after major revisions and additional view.					
Rejection.					
15. DO YOU WISH TO REMAIN ANONYMOUS?					
16. SIGNATURE OF REVIEWER 17. DATE	<u> </u>				

NOAA FORM 25-18	REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPT	Page 4
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUC		